



# **ePORTFOLIO REVIEW APPEALS POLICY**

Version	Effective Date	Review Date	Author
1	April 2016 (Previously part of ePortfolio Review Policy)	April 2018	Katherine Morrow
2	February 2018	February 2019	Katherine Morrow
3	February 2019	February 2020	Claire Murphy
4	February 2020	February 2021	Claire Murphy
5	February 2021	February 2022	Sarah Chambers
6	July 2021	July 2022	Sarah Chambers
7	July 2021	July 2023	Sarah Chambers
8	July 2021	July 2024	Sarah Chambers (reviewed by A O Dwyer)



The IIOP takes very seriously its responsibility to have a mechanism in place to allow pharmacists to appeal outcomes of the ePortfolio Review Process which result in referral to the PSI. The procedures laid out in this policy apply to all pharmacists undertaking ePortfolio Review.

The IIOP reserves the right to amend this Policy where the interests of fair procedures and natural justice so require.

#### 1 Committee Structure

- 1.1 Membership of the Appeals Committee (the "Committee") for a particular case will be selected as deemed appropriate by the Chair of the Appeals Committee and the IIOP ePortfolio Review Project Lead (or nominee) depending on the nature of the individual case.
- 1.2 The Chair for the Committee will be nominated by the IIOP Executive Director.
- 1.3 The Secretary for the Committee will be the IIOP ePortfolio Review Project Lead (or nominee).

## 2 Power of Decision / Voting

A minimum of three individuals will serve on the Committee. The Secretary will be in attendance to ensure accurate recording and compliance with ePortfolio Review Policy and ePortfolio Review Appeals Policy. There shall be only one decision of the Committee.

## 3 Matters for Appeal

- 3.1 The Appeals Committee shall have the authority to hear appeals relating to the following outcomes (as defined by the IIOP ePortfolio Review Policy) for ePortfolio Review:
- 3.1.1 Appealing a 'Standard Not Met (Year 2)' Outcome
- 3.1.2 Appealing a 'Non Engagement' Outcome



3.2 An appeal will be considered if there is evidence of apparent substantive procedural irregularity on the part of the IIOP in the processes leading to either of the outcomes outlined above, i.e. evidence that the IIOP appears to have failed to have followed its own policies or processes properly, and which the pharmacist reasonably believes may have had a bearing on the outcome issued.

## 4 Submitting an Appeal

- 4.1 A pharmacist who wishes to appeal should submit their appeal in writing to the Executive Director of the IIOP using the ePortfolio Review Appeals Application form (Appendix I). This must be within 14 days of the formal publication of the outcome.
- 4.2 There is a fee of €150 for an appeal, refunded if an appeal is upheld.

#### 5 Grounds to Proceed

- 5.1 The procedural grounds (or basis) upon which an appeal is considered suitable to proceed to a formal hearing before an Appeal Committee, is a decision which will be made by the Grounds to Proceed Committee, consisting of the Secretary and two members of staff from RCSI.
- 5.2 The decision will be based on whether the appeal satisfies the criteria e.g. it relates to substantive procedural irregularities and no other criteria, and that *prima facie* evidence has been provided to support the appeal.
- 5.3 The Secretary will ensure the pharmacist is informed if an application to appeal has been granted or refused to proceed.

## 6 Procedures of the Appeals Committee

- 6.1 Following the formation of the Appeals Committee, the Secretary will gather the relevant papers and documentation and may confer confidentially with any third party who may be of assistance to the appeal.
- 6.2 A copy of the pharmacist's written appeal application form, including any documentary evidence, will be provided to the Committee.
- 6.3 All documentation provided to the Committee will also be provided to the pharmacist.
- 6.4 A Committee meeting will be convened and the pharmacist informed of the date and time of the meeting.



- 6.5 The pharmacist has the right to present their appeal at the hearing and will be invited to attend by the Secretary.
- The pharmacist has the right to invite an advocate to accompany them to the hearing, and must notify the Secretary if they elect to do so.

#### 7 Formal Committee Hearing

The Chair of the Appeals Committee will attend to the following:

- 7.1 Conduct introductions and explain the functions of the Committee.
- 7.2 Explain the possible outcomes relating to decisions made by the Committee.
- 7.3 Invite the pharmacist or if applicable the pharmacists advocate to make a statement in their own words and allow members of the Committee to direct questions.
- 7.4 Invite any other person(s) who may be able to provide expert advice on specific aspects of the appeal to make a brief statement, with members of the Committee being permitted to ask questions after each statement. The pharmacist will be invited, through the Chair, to ask questions. If an advocate is present they will also be invited, through the Chair, to ask questions.
- 7.5 Once satisfied that all parties have had a full opportunity to make statements and ask questions, invite the pharmacist (and advocate) to withdraw.
- 7.6 Ensure that the contact details of the pharmacist and advocate are available to the Committee.
- 7.7 Facilitate discussion of the case and ask for a decision to be made.
- 7.8 Formally notify the Executive Director of the outcome.



## 8 Powers of the Appeals Committee

The Appeals Committee may:

- 8.1 Uphold the appeal. This will result in:
- 8.1.1 For an appeal against an outcome of 'Standard Not Met (Year 2)', there will be no referral to the PSI at this point. The pharmacist will be afforded an additional opportunity to participate in ePortfolio Review. The pharmacist will be entered by the IIOP into the next ePortfolio Review process.
- 8.1.2 For an appeal against an outcome of 'Non-Engagement', there will be no referral to the PSI at this point. The pharmacist will be entered by the IIOP into the next ePortfolio Review process as an ab initio participant<sup>1</sup>.
- 8.2 Seek further information and reconvene.
- 8.3 Reject the appeal (i.e. the assigned outcome stands -referral to the PSI).

#### 9 Communication of Decision

9.1 The formal determination of the Appeals Committee will be given to the pharmacist in writing.

#### 10 Confidentiality and Data Protection

10.1 A record of all decisions made under this Policy will be kept for eight years following the decision.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the purposes of this policy 'ab initio participant' is defined as a pharmacist undertaking ePortfolio Review for the first time



## Notes for pharmacists wishing to appeal

It's important to note that an appeal can only be heard if a pharmacist has evidence of apparent substantive procedural irregularity on the part of the IIOP in the ePortfolio Review policies or processes which resulted in an outcome of 'Standard Not Met (Year 2)' or 'Non Engagement' (as defined in the IIOP ePortfolio Review Policy).

This means that you must have evidence that the IIOP failed to follow its own processes and policies.

This policy does not encompass or cover complaints which relate, directly and manifestly, to matters of Reviewer judgement. Specifically this means that a pharmacist cannot appeal against the feedback and/or outcome given by a Reviewer because they disagree with the feedback given.

If a pharmacist has questions about their outcome, or would like further information with regard to the correct procedure for appeal, they are advised to contact the IIOP team by emailing <a href="mailto:info@iiop.ie">info@iiop.ie</a>. If a pharmacist would prefer a phone call they are advised to provide a phone number via email and a staff member will contact them.

# **Appeals Application**

A pharmacist must submit any appeal in writing using the ePortfolio Review Appeal Application Form within fourteen days of the date of notification of the relevant outcome.

The appeal will be dealt with as quickly as possible. However, pharmacists should be aware that it may take some time before an appeal is resolved.

A pharmacist must submit their appeal by email, with any necessary supporting documentation attached, to info@iiop.ie.

The administration fee (€150) can be paid online by clicking <a href="here">here</a> or by sending a cheque or bank draft made payable to 'The Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland' to the address listed above.

The administration fee will be refunded to the pharmacist in the event that their appeal is upheld.



# **Appendix I**

# **ePortfolio Review Appeal Application Form**

Section One		
Family Name		
First Name		
PSI Number		
Full address for correspondence		
Contact phone number		
Contact email address		
Appealing against:		Tick as applicable
3.1.1 Standard Not Met (Year 2) C	Outcome	
3.1.2 Non Engagement Outcome		
For an appeal to be considered, II grounds (Tick to confirm):	OP Appeal Regulations only allow app	lications on the following
l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ce of apparent procedural irregularit nce that the IIOP appears to have failed properly)	•
Please note: If your issue does no	t fall within the grounds stated above have grounds to proceed	then your appeal will not



page(s) as required:	on separate
SECTION TWO	
	Tick V
CHECKLIST OF RELEVANT DOCUMENTATION	Tick √
CHECKLIST OF RELEVANT DOCUMENTATION Application form completed	Tick √
SECTION TWO CHECKLIST OF RELEVANT DOCUMENTATION Application form completed Supporting evidence attached	Tick V
CHECKLIST OF RELEVANT DOCUMENTATION Application form completed	Tick √